Crash Recovery

CSCI 220: Database Management and Systems Design

Slides adapted from Simon Miner Gordon College

Practice Quiz: Equivalence

- Order the following from most to least "strict": result equivalence, conflict equivalence, view equivalence
- Draw a schedule that shows:
 - Two transactions which **are not serial**, and which **are not conflict serializable**
 - Two transactions which **are not serial**, but which **are conflict serializable**

Today you will learn...

- How do databases recover from crashes?
 - Transaction rollbacks (common)
 - System failures (more rare)



Ensuring Data Integrity

- Issues related to preserving data integrity
 - Concurrency control
 - Crash control
- *Transactions* are a key concept at the heart of these matters
- Database is in a *consistent* state if there are no contradictions between the data within it
 - Temporary inconsistencies occur by necessity, but must not be allowed to persist
 - Example: transfer of funds between bank accounts

Transactions are Atomic and Preserve Consistency

- A transaction is an atomic operation (unit of work) involving a series of processing steps including:
 - One or more reads and/or writes
 - Data computations can happen during a transaction, but the database is mostly concerned with reads and writes
- If the database is in a consistent state at the start of the transaction, it will be in a consistent state at the end of the transaction

ACID

- Atomicity: either all of the transaction completes, or none of it completes
 - If any part of the transaction fails, all effects of it must be removed from the database
- Consistency: database ends the transaction in a consistent state (provided it started that way)
- Isolation: concurrently executing transactions must be unaware of each other (as if they ran serially)
 - It should look to one as if the other has not started or has already completed
- Durability: a transaction's effects must persist in the database after it completes

Transaction States

- Active: from the time a transaction starts until it fails or reach its last statement
- Partially committed: last statement executed, but changes to database are not yet permanent (SQL commit)
- Committed: changes to database have been made permanent



- Failed: logic error or user abort has precluded completion, and transaction's changes must be undone (SQL rollback)
- Aborted: all effects of the transaction have been removed

Crash Recovery

Causes of Data Corruption

- Logical errors related to incoming data
 - Aborted operations (both programmatic and interactive)
- Transaction failures (i.e. from rollback, deadlock, etc.)
- System crashes
 - Power failure
 - Hardware failure (i.e. failed CPU)
 - Software failure (i.e. operating system crash)
 - Network communication failure
 - Human error
 - Security breach or cyber-attack
- Disk failures that destroy the medium storing the data
- External catastrophes (i.e., fire, flood, etc.)

Storage Types and Data Loss

- Volatile storage: main memory
 - Subject to data loss at any time from many factors (i.e. power, hardware, software failure, etc.)
- Non-volatile storage: disk
 - Not as prone to data corruption
 - Still susceptible to power failures during writes, disk failures, and external catastrophes
- "Stable" storage:
 - <u>Write-once media</u>: CDs, DVDs, Blu-ray, etc.
 - Susceptible to damage and degradation
 - RAID: susceptible to individual disk failures

Mitigating Storage Failures

- Use a redundant RAID configuration (e.g., RAID 10)
 - Monitor the integrity of the RAID by checking S.M.A.R.T. status and performing disk scrubbing
 - Promptly replace failed/failing disks
- Perform regular backups
 - Protect data against non-volatile storage failure and inadvertent data erasure (i.e. human error, ransomware)
 - Rare, but will occur eventually
 - Backups are essential but not enough
 - Need fast restoration of changes since the last backup
 - Test your backups!

Crash Recovery Measures

- Restore the system to a consistent state after an aborted operation or crash
- Ensure the durability property of transactions that commits "stick"
 - Each transaction assigned a unique identifier (i.e. serial number)
 - Keep some record of incoming transactions
- Deal with in-process transactions when the system failed

Transaction Processing Log

- Track details of each transaction
 - Transaction start message
 - Details of changes made to the database
 - Transaction end message
- Used to recover from a crash
- Can be used for database replication

Transaction End Messages

- **Commit entry:** indicates successful completion of a transaction
 - This transaction's changes to the database should persist
- Abort entry: indicates the transaction failed
 None of this transaction's changes should persist
- If the system crashes while a transaction is in progress, the end message **will be missing.** After crash recovery completes:
 - No changes from that transaction should persist
 - If possible, the transaction can be restarted

Protect the Log!

- The transaction processing log needs to be protected against corruption
 - Write it to stable storage
 - Keep multiple copies of the log in different locations
- Ensure the log data is written before the actual changes are written to the database
 - System typically buffers log entries until a block of them can be written
 - Actual database updates written after the log buffer is flushed
 - Sometimes it might be necessary to write out data block before the logging block is full
 - A forced write of a partial log buffer
- Ensure that a crash that occurs while the log block is being written does not corrupt previous log entries

Crash Recovery Schemes

- Incremental Log with Deferred Updates
 - No changes are made to the database until after the transaction commits and the commit entry is written to the log
- Incremental Log with Immediate Updates
 - Changes are made to the database during the transaction, but only after a log entry is written that includes the initial values of the things changed (so they can be recovered if necessary)

• Shadow Paging

• Two copies of the relevant database data are kept during the transaction – both original and modified values. Once the transaction commits, the modified values permanently replace the original ones. (No log required.)

Storage Types

- Data only persists after it is written to nonvolatile storage
- For performance, data is buffered in memory
- For durability, the memory buffers for database data files and log files must be flushed at certain times in a transaction's lifecycle

Session memory space

Database buffer memory space

Database data files

Log files

Incremental Log with Deferred Updates

Incremental Log with Deferred Updates

• Example: A transaction to transfer \$50 from checking to savings (with initial balances of \$1000 and \$2000, respectively.

SQL	Log Entries
update checking_accounts set balance = balance - 50 where account_no = 127;	T1234 starts T1234 writes 950 to balance of checking_accounts record 127 T1234 writes 2050 to balance of
update savings_accounts set balance = balance + 50 where account_no = 253;	savings_accounts record 253 T1234 commits

- Once transaction partially commits (e.g. commit log entry is written), actual updates to the database occur
 - If the transaction fails or aborts, no changes have been made to the database

Deferred Update Recovery

- If the system crashes during a transaction:
 - If the crash occurs **before the commit log entry** is written:
 - Ignore (or restart) the transaction when the system is restored
 - If the crash occurs **after the commit log entry** is written:
 - (Re)write values from the log to the database (no harm in writing the same values to the database a second time)
- This *redo log* approach has the following recovery algorithm:
 - for each transaction with a commit record in the log
 - Write each new value for the transaction in the log to the database
- Checkpoint: periodic automated flush of buffers to disk
 - Causes committed transactions to be reflected in non-volatile storage
 - DBMS writes a checkpoint to the log
 - Only transactions after the checkpoint need to be applied after a crash

Deferred Update Tradeoffs

- Changes aren't reflected in the database until they are committed
- This incurs memory overhead
 - A transaction needs to keep a copy of the data it modifies, since it hasn't yet been written to disk
 - Cannot support transactions that don't fit in memory
- The major benefit is simpler recovery, since uncommitted transactions can be ignored

Incremental Log with Immediate Updates

Incremental Log with Immediate Updates

• Since database updates happen during the course of a transaction, log entries (written before the updates) must contain both old and new values

SQL	Log Entries
update checking_accounts	T1234 starts
set balance = balance -50 where account_no = 127;	checking_accounts record 127
	(old value was 1000)
update savings_accounts	T1234 writes 2050 to balance of
set balance = balance + 50	savings_accounts record 253
where account_no = :253;	(old value was 2000)
	T1234 commits

• If the transaction fails or aborts, all database updates must be undone by writing the original values back to the database

Immediate Update Recovery

- *Redo* and *undo* log approach to crash recovery
 - for each transaction with a start record in the log
 - if its commit record is also in the log
 - redo: write each new value for the transaction in the log to the database
 - else
 - undo: rewrite each old value for the transaction in the log to the database
- Order is critical
 - Undo operations must happen first (from newest to oldest)
 - Redo operations can happen afterward (from oldest to newest)
- Use checkpoints to minimize undo/redo work

Immediate Update Tradeoffs

- Longer log entries: both old and new values stored
- Every database write requires the corresponding log entry to be written to disk/stable storage (not just on commit)
- Failed transactions must be "cleaned up"
 - Crash recovery requires processing every transaction, not just the ones that committed
- The major benefit is support for large transactions that don't fit in memory

Shadow Paging

Shadow Paging

- Maintain two copies of the active portion of the database
 - Current version: reflects all changes since start of current transaction
 - Shadow version: state of database before current transaction began
- If transaction fails or aborts, current version is discarded
- If transaction commits, current version replaces shadow version

Shadow Paging Recovery

- Crash recovery is automatic, since changes are only made to the current version, simply revert to the shadow version
- Major benefit: in a single-user environment, a log isn't needed!

Shadow Paging Drawbacks

- Hard to maintain with lots of concurrent transactions
- Larger storage overhead than log-based approaches
 - Entire pages are duplicated (e.g., 8KB per page)
- Data fragmentation occurs quickly
 - Data is moved to different places on disk when it is changed
- Old shadow copies must be cleaned up after a commit
 - Garbage collection

Summary

- Multiple transactions can conflict with each other
 - Conflicts be efficiently detected using precedence graphs
 - Non-conflicting transactions are "Conflict Serializable"
- When there is a conflict, one of the transactions must be rolled back
 - Crash recovery must be aware of these ordinary transaction failures
- Different techniques can be used to implement crash recovery

Further Reading

- PostgreSQL Manual: Reliability and the Write-Ahead Log (WAL)
 - https://www.postgresql.org/docs/16/wal.html
 - WAL is an "immediate update" approach